



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,194	11/03/2000	Danamichele Brennen O'Brien	7165-27RE	5463

7590 01/23/2006

HOWARD SOBELMAN ESQ.
SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
ONE ARIZONA CENTER
400 EAST VAN BUREN
PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

RIMELL, SAMUEL G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2164

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/706,194	O'BRIEN, DANAMICHELE BRENNEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Rimell	2164	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sam Rimell. (3)_____.

(2) Howard Sobelman. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 18 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner indicated that proposed declaration, except for missing signature, was otherwise acceptable in overcoming last basis of rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Snell & Wilmer

L.L.P.

LAW OFFICES

One Arizona Center
400 East Van Buren
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202
(602) 382-6000
Fax: (602) 382-6070
www.swlaw.com

PHOENIX, ARIZONA

TUCSON, ARIZONA

IRVINE, CALIFORNIA

SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

DENVER, COLORADO

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

13 9 52

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: January 13, 2006

TIME IN:
TIME OUT:

TO:

Name	Fax Number	Phone Number
Attn: Examiner Sam Rimell Commissioner for Patents	571-273-4084	

FROM: Howard Sobelman

PHONE: 602-382-6228

RE: U.S. Serial No. 09/706,194

MESSAGE:

Dear Examiner Rimell,
Attached is a proposed draft Declaration for your review and approval.

Thank you.
Howard Sobelman

Please confirm receipt of this facsimile.

ORIGINAL DOCUMENT: Will not be sent

NUMBER OF PAGES (Including Cover):

3

CONFIRMATION NO.:

CLIENT MATTER NO.: 60655.3500

PLEASE RETURN TO: Debbie Mier
15s04

PERSONAL FAX: No

REQUESTOR: Howard
Sobelman

DIRECT LINE: 602-382-6228

IF YOU HAVE NOT PROPERLY RECEIVED THIS TELECOPY, PLEASE CALL US AT (602) 382-6075.
OUR FACSIMILE NUMBER IS (602) 382-6070.

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS ATTORNEY PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

PATENT

Applicant: O'Brien	Attorney Docket No.: 60655.3500
Serial No.: 09/706,194	Group Art Unit: 2165
Filed: November 30, 2000	Examiner: Samuel G. Rimell
Title: COMPUTER SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING A TRAVEL SCHEME MINIMIZING TRAVEL COSTS FOR AN ORGANIZATION	Confirmation No.: 5463

DRAFT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY BY INVENTOR
(Reissue Application)

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed in U.S. Patent No. 5,832,453, granted on November 3, 1998, for which a reissue patent is sought on the invention entitled:

**COMPUTER SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DETERMINING A TRAVEL SCHEME
MINIMIZING TRAVEL COSTS FOR AN ORGANIZATION**

the specification of which was filed on March 22, 1994 as U.S. Serial No. 08/215,991 and was amended on November 15, 2004 and July 19, 2005.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to herein.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

FOREIGN PRIORITY APPLICATIONS

None		
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/month/year filed)

AXP No. 200302721

1777025.1

Priority Claimed
[] Yes [] No

DRAFTDocket No. 60655.3500
Serial No. 09/706,194

I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partially inoperative or invalid, by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right to claim in the patent. At least one excess or insufficiency in the claims is specified below.

Applicant discovered that the claims of the issued patent do not adequately cover articles of manufacture and other systems and methods that Applicant had a right to claim. Applicant hereby indicates her intent to broaden the claims in this reissue application.

All errors sought to be corrected in this reissue application up to the time of filing this declaration arose without any deceptive intention on my part or on the part of the applicant.

And I hereby appoint registered attorneys and agents associated with Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., Customer No. 20322, as my attorneys or agents with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Address all correspondence to Customer No. 20322, namely, Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., One Arizona Center, 400 East Van Buren, Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202. Please direct all communications and telephone calls to Howard I. Sobelman at 602-382-6228.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Full name of sole or first inventor: Danamichele Brennen (fka Danamichele Brennen O'Brien)

Inventor's signature: _____ Date: _____

Residence City, State and Country: _____

Mailing Address: _____

City, State: _____ Zip Code: _____ Country: _____

Citizenship: _____